



UNITED STATES CEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
(2/186) 8	29 06/07/2 5	AESTS		294-82
		18M2/0916	WHIS	EXAMINER
	AND BARON CHO TURNPIKE		ART UNI	T PAPER NUMBER
JERICHO 	NY 11753	ı	DATE MAIL ED.	/
			DATE MAILED:	09/16/96

Please find below a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application.

Commissioner of Patents

1 1 1999 5	Application No. 08/486,839	Applicant(s) Aerts, J.		
&TRADEW Office Action Summary	Examiner Ethan C. Whisenant, Ph		Group Art Unit 1807	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on				<u>.</u>
This action is FINAL.	· ~			
Since this application is in condition for allowance in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Co	wayie, 1935 C.D. 11; 45	3 0.0. 213.		
A shortened statutory period for response to this ac s longer, from the mailing date of this communication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 13 GFR 1.136(a).	tion is set to expire	3 mon	th(s), or thirty d od for response	Will Codo Circ
Disposition of Claims		•	. I	the application
Of the above, claim(s)		is/a	re withdrawn fr	om consideration.
☐ Claim(s)			is/are allow	red.
☐ Claim(s)			is/are rejec	ted.
☐ Claim(s)			is/are objec	eted to.
	are s	subject to res	striction or elect	tion requirement.
Application Papers				
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Pa	tent Drawing Review, PT	O-948.		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on	is/are objected to by the	Examiner.	_	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is [approved	∐ disapproved	•
☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami				
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	-tan adadas undor 25 H S	C 6 119/a)-(d)	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for for	IED copies of the priority	documents !	nave been	
	IED CODIES OF THE PRIORITY			
received.	do/Serial Number)			
received in Application No. (Series Conreceived in this national stage applicat	ion from the International	Bureau (PC	—— Т Rule 17.2(a)).	
received in this national stage applicat		•		

--- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES ---

Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948

☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

Attachment(s)

Notice of References Cited, PTO-892

☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413

Art Unit: 1807

RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-14, 22-27, 30-31 drawn to the protein Chitinase and antibodies against Chitinase, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
 - II. Claim 15, drawn to a method of treatment using a Chitinase containing pharmaceutical, classified in class 424, subclass 130.1.
 - III. Claims 16-18, drawn to a process of preparing Chitinase, classified in class 435, subclass 70.1.
 - IV. Claims 19-21, and 28-29, drawn to nucleic acids encoding the protein Chitinase or portions thereof, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product, for example, the product can be used as an antigen to elicit an immune response.

Art Unit: 1807

Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product, for example, the product can be used as a molecular weight marker in acrylamide gel electrophoresis.

Inventions I and IV are patentably distinct in structure and physicochemical properties. Invention IV is drawn to nucleic acids and invention I is drawn to proteins. Because nucleic acids are composed of nucleotides while proteins are composed of amino acids, the inventions have different structural and physical properties. Furthermore, the products of inventions I and IV are utilized in different methodologies. The products in group IV are used in hybridization-type assays whereas the proteins of group I are used as antigens to raise antibodies.

Inventions II and III are patentably distinct methods with different intermediate steps and different end results.

Inventions II and IV are related as a product and a process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product, for example, the product (i.e.nucleic acids encoding the protein Chitinase or portions thereof) can be used as hybridization probes.

Inventions III and IV are patentably distinct methods with different intermediate steps and different end results.

Art Unit: 1807

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 4. A telephone call was made to Ronald Baron on 9 SEP 96 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 5. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

CONCLUSION

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ethan Whisenant, Ph.D whose telephone number is (703) 308-6567. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 8:00AM -4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, W. Gary Jones, can be reached at (703) 308-1152.

The fax number for this Group is (703) 305-7401. Please note that the faxing of papers must conform with the Notice to Comply published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989).

4

Art Unit: 1807

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Ethan Whisenant, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner

W. GARY JONES SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER GROUP 1800